Walk, Roger A.

From: Walk, Roger A.
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2000 12:48 PM
To: Solana, Rick P.
Subject: RE: Projects and activiies 'vacated' by transfer of Pete Lipowicz

Sure, Rick. I understand and share your commitment to use this as an opportunity to focus on our key directions. I look forward to discuss with you your and my ideas, how we can best do this. After I fully understand where you want to go, I will seek the input from George and other colleagues as well before we start to work on it.

Joy and Rebecca will find a time slot during this very busy week.

I also want to thank you for suggesting and supporting me to attend the ECL and your time and effort to fill in the 'perception' questionnaire for my course last week. The course was really a good investment of time and it will affect my life both personally and professionally. It will also help to make my interactions with all of my colleagues more effective and joyful. Unfortunately the fax was 'lost' and I have not yet received your input. I will follow up and get back to you some time later.
Thank you, Rick. I now know how much you care for us.

Have a nice Sunday afternoon and evening.
Roger

-----Original Message-----
From: **Rick.P.Solana@pmusa.com [SMTP:Rick.P.Solana@pmusa.com]**
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2000 12:11 PM
To: Walk, Roger A.
Cc: Joy.J.Bugg@pmusa.com
Subject: RE: Projects and activiies 'vacated' by transfer of Pete Lipowicz

Roger,

when we are in town together next week, can we discuss what is the best direction for the group as far as what expertise should be pursued for your US group?

Joy, can you set up some time?

---Original Message-----
>From: "Walk, Roger A." <Roger.A.Walk@pmusa.com>
>To: "Solana, Rick P." <Rick.P.Solana@pmusa.com>
>Subject: Projects and activiies 'vacated' by transfer of Pete Lipowicz
>Date: Thursday, February 03, 2000 6:04 PM
>
>Dear Rick,
>
>*Pete informed me that his transfer is imminent (starting next
>Sunday).
>
>*The following projects and activities cannot be executed as
>planned, if Pete is transferred without a replacement by a scientist
>with similar skills than Pete:
>
>Projects:
>1. Publication 'Effect of filters on particle size of mainstream
>smoke (Oct.2000)
>2. Study and publication 'Evaporation of nicotine and ammonia from
>particles (denuder technique)' (Jun2001)
>3. Study and draft manuscript on 'Test method and example
>application for evaluation of ETS reduction in rooms (Dec. 2000)
>4. Study and draft manuscript for exposure determination of

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/lggj0001

>individuals using total smoking time (Feb. 2001)
>5. "Irritation hazard identification" for ETS (Jun. 2000)
>6. Evaluation of exposure modeling techniques for ETS (Mar. 2001)
>
>Activities:
>1. Support for WRA on ASHRAE 62
>2. Support of the Places Strategy Coordination Council
>3. Management of Chelsea contract to support and encourage
>publications
>4. Identification and review of technical consultants for the
>'Options' program
>5. Monitoring of literature regarding ETS reduction
>6. Attendance at Am. Assoc. Aerosol Res. Annual meeting
>
>*All of these projects are high priority projects of the WSA
>Plan2000. They are the core of the US program to address exposure
>assessment and reduction to both MS and SS (ETS).
>
>*All but project #1 can be 'transferred' to another scientists
>with similar expertise as Pete. Pete may be able to proceed with this
>publication even after his transfer to another department.
>
>*I would be happy to discuss further with you and the other
>staff members thoughts about how Pete's position in WSA could be
>'refilled'.
>
>Best regards,
>Roger
>

PM3006532434

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/lggj0001